eGFR; Whatever next?

Dr WA Bartlett

Clinical Leader Biochemical Medicine Ninewells Hospital & Medical School





April 2006



eGFR hits the QoF



GFR =175 x ((creatinine(μ mol/L))-intercept))/slope))^{-1.154} x (Age)^{-0.203} x [0.742 if patient is female] x [1.212 if African American]



Renal Bods: -Joy! " Greatest advance in renal medicine this century"



Lab Bods; -Despair! "Why didn't you speak to us first!"



Primary Care: -Confusion! "Not sure what it means, but we have to have it now!"

Staging as KDOQI

http://www.kidney.org/professionals/kdoqi/index.cfm

Among patients with chronic kidney disease, the stage of disease should be assigned based on the level of kidney function, irrespective of diagnosis,

according to the K/DOQI CKD classification: -

Table 10. Stages of Chronic Kidney Disease						
Stage	Description	GFR (mL/min/1.73 m ²)				
1	Kidney damage with normal or ↑ GFR	≥90				
2	Kidney damage with mild \downarrow GFR	60–89				
3	Moderate ↓ GFR	30–59				
4	Severe \downarrow GFR	15–29				
5	Kidney failure	<15 (or dialysis)				

Normal Mild Moderate impairment Severe impairment Established renal disease

What to Measure: RCGP

Minimum frequency of testing							
CKD stage	Tests	Frequency					
1 and 2	BP eGFR Urine PCR*	yearly					
3	- also Hb, potassium calcium, phosphate	6 monthly (12 if stable **)					
4 and 5	- also bicarbonate, PTH	3 monthly (6 if stable CKD stage 4 **)					
* if dipstick protein present ** stable=<2mL/min change eGFR over 6months							

Problem: Majority of patients with CKD have an eGFR >60 mL/min/1.73m²

Prevalence of CKD: NHANES III Adults >20

		eGFR MDRD	Prevalence
Stage	Description	ml/min/1.73m ²	%
1*	Kidney damage With "normal" GFR	≥ 90	3.3
2 *	Kidney Damage with mildly↓ GFR	60-89	3.0
3	Moderate GFR	30-59	4.3
4	Severe GFR	15-29	0.2
5	Kidney Failure	<15	0.1

* Albumin/creatinine ratio 1.9mg/mmol males; 2.8 mg/mmol female on 2 occasions.

Problem: Equation not Universally Applicable



Steak Pie

Race = Cherub i.e. not white or African American

Under 18?

Pregnant or Obese?

One Leg



Strenuous Exercise Creatine Supplements

Problems:

High and variable referral rates due to:-

- inaccuracy of creatinine measurements
- Uncertainty as to correct course of action in primary care. (e.g. 80 year old lady eGFR = 56 ?)
- Lack of guidance. SIGN & NICE to Report.
- Increase in biochemistry workloads.

Where are the Cavalry?



Scotland Forever!

Lady Elizabeth Butler

UK Consensus Conference on Early Chronic Kidney Disease 6 and 7 February 2007.

Royal College of Physicians Edinburgh

Nephrol Dial Transplant. 2007 Sep;22(9):2455-7 <u>Archibald G</u>, <u>Bartlett W</u>, <u>Brown A</u>, <u>Christie B</u>, <u>Elliott A</u>, <u>Griffith K</u>, <u>Pound S</u>, <u>Rappaport I</u>, <u>Robertson D</u>, <u>Semple Y</u>, <u>Slane P</u>, <u>Whitworth C</u>, <u>Williams B</u>.

RCPE UK Consensus Statement on Diagnosis of Early CKD

Main Changes: -

- Report eGFR as > 60 ml/min/1.73/M² across the uk
- Stage 3 now: -
 - 3A 45 to 59
 - 3B 30 to 44
- Suffix P = proteinuria = PCR 100 mg/mmol creatinine = risk
- If $\Delta eGFR > 4 \text{ mL/min}/1.73\text{M}^2$ refer?

Proteinuria not in QoF Yet!

Guidance for Labs: -

- Enzymatic creatinine or slope and intercept adjusters
- Indicate on reports that an eGFR greater than 60 does not exclude CKD stages 1 and 2; urinalysis and further investigations where appropriate
- Report CKD 3a and 3B
- provide indicators of the significance of change between serial results (e.g. reference change value).
- provide specific recommendations on collection procedures to minimise biological and other sources of variation.

Clear Guidance for GPs

Stage 1, 2 and 3A: -

- Manage cardiovascular risk factors
- Annual review with;
 - eGFR,
 - Urinalysis
 - Blood pressure

Stage 3B

6 monthly review as above

Primary aim to reduce progression optimise blood pressure and reduce proteinuria.

Help for Lab workload!

Bone and Mineral Disorders

- Not common in early CKD. Recommend leaving the PTH measurements to the renal units.
- Anaemia
- Uncommon unless diabetic or eGFR <45 mL/min/1.73m²

Problem: eGFR >60?

Is it falling at a rate of greater than 4 mL/min/1.73m²/year ?

Creatinine measurement employing appropriate reference intervals and reference change values?

Significance of Change

Reference Change Values?

eGFR or Creatinine.

Biological Variation: Average within subject (CV_I) = 4.3%

Biological Variation



Gowans & Fraser. Ann Clin Biochem 1988:25:259-263

Reference Change Value

Interpretation of serial results.

Difference > than combined analytical and biological variation: -

 $RCV = 2^{\frac{1}{2}} * Z * (CV_A^2 + CV_I^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$

The Z score determines the level of significance of the change: e.g. 1 tailed 95% = 1.6599% = 2.33

RCV eGFR.

GFR = 175 x (creatinine)^{-1.154} x (Age)^{-0.203} x [0.742 if patient is female] x [1.212 if African American] Confidence limits?

- Only analytical Variable is the Creatinine with a CV₁ of 4.3 % the impact of this is increased by the power function in the equation to an average of 5.4%.
- Non linear relationship between eGFR and [Creatinine] = non linear relationship between probability of change and starting eGFR.

"% Probability that % Fall in eGFR is Significant



Significance of Fall in eGFR at CKD Classification Boundaries





Observation: -

The significance of a change depends on starting eGFR

Single cut off of 4 mL maybe clinically useful, but carries different significance at different levels of eGFR

Complicated story to tell GPs

Question being Asked?

Has the patient got reduced renal function?

Has the function deteriorated?



Use eGFR for initial classification of CKD stage.

 Use creatinine to follow patients with RCV indicator flag?
 – More Precise?

RCV for eGFR and Creatinine: -

	% Change at % Probability				
	95%	99%			
Rise in Creatinine	10.3%	14.6%			
Fall in eGFR	12.8%	15.4%			

Assumes a $CV_A = 1\%$ $CV_I = 4.3 \%$ creatinine $CV_I = 5.4 \%$ eGFR

How do we communicate the significance

Use graphs?
Report probabilities?
Flags?

55 year old white male

% Probability of Significance that a Second Creatinine Concentration has Changed



Creatinine rise from 116 to 128

Change = 10.3%

95% probability of significant rise

eGFR at baseline = 60mL/min/1.73m²

eGFR now = 53 mL/min

Change = 11.6% = 7 mL

93.5% probability significant fall in eGFR

Patient referral?

55 year old White Male

% Probability of Significance that a Second Creatinine Concentration has Changed



Creatinine rise from 206 to 227 Change = 10.3%95% probability of significant rise eGFR at baseline = 30mL/min/1.73m² eGFR now = 27 mL/minChange = 10 % = 3 mL90% probability significant fall in eGFR

Patient referral?

55 year old White Female

% Probability of Significance that a Second Creatinine Concentration has Changed



Creatinine rise from 206 to 227

Change = 10.3%

95% probability of significant rise

eGFR at baseline = 23 mL/min/1.73m²

eGFR now = 20 mL/min

Change = 10 % = 3 mL

90% probability significant fall in eGFR

Patient referral?

Keep it simple? Use Flags

Tayside clinical Laboracor	y service	s 			Telephone 01738	3 473223 (PRI) UI382 6326UZ (NW) 	
Name:								
N/W Ward 3								
SODIUM	130	**	mmol/L	(135-147)		
POTASSIUM	4.8		mmol/L	(3.5-5.0)		
JREA	25.2	**	mmol/L	(3.3-6.6)		
CREATININE	212	**	umol/L	(44-80)		
STIMATED GFR	24		mL/min					
CKD Stage	4							
LT	47	**	U/L	(12-40)		
ILIRUBINS	12		umol/L	(0-15)		
LKALINE PHOSPHATASE	603	**	U/L	(20-80)		
LEUMIN	34	<	g/L	(36-50)		
GT	651	>>	U/L	(5-35)		
Lab.Comments:								
							Sample Date/Time	
							18 Aug 2007 07:	34
Clin.Details: CF, bilate	ral lung	tran	splant					
				D				

30 year old white female: Highly significant change in renal function: - ** indicates highly significant change

Jame:		1							
J/W									
ODIUM	137	*	mmol/L	(135-147)			
OTASSIUM	4.0		mmol/L	(3.5-5.0)			
IREA	12.1	**	mmol/L	(3.3-6.6)			
REATININE	79	*	umol/L	(44-80				
STIMATED GFR	GTEU		mL/min						_
KD Stage	IF HIGH R PROTEINUR	ISK IA.	OF CKD, EXCLUDE	STAGES	1 AND 2 BY	CHECKING	FOR H	AEMATURIA ANI	
-REACTIVE PROTEIN	16	>	mg/L	(up to S	5)			-
LT	30		U/L	(13-43)			
ILIRUBINS	107	>>	umol/L	(0-15)			
LKALINE PHOSPHATASE	202	>	U/L	(40-150)			
LBUMIN	30	<	g/L	(36-50)			
ALCIUM	2.31	**	mmol/L	(2.10-2.55	5)			
ALCIUM (CORRECTED)	2.59	*	mmol/L	(2.10-2.55	5)			
MYLASE	64		U/L	(0-100)			
ab.Comments:									
								Sample Date 27 Sep 2007	/Time
Clin.Details:									

eGFR > 60 in a 30 year old white female: Changing renal function? NB! Change has taken Place within the confines of the reference limits. * indicates significant change

Tayside Clinical Labora	tory Services		Telephone 01738	01382 632602 (NW)			
Name							
N/ W							
SODIUM	136	mmol/L	(135-147)		
POTASSIUM	5.5 >	mmol/L	(3.5-5.0)		
UREA	9.2	mmol/L	(4.0-12.0)		
CREATININE	165 >	umol/L	(62-106)		
ESTIMATED GFR	35	mL/min					
CKD Stage	3B						
C-REACTIVE PROTEIN	LT3	mg/L	(up to 5)		
ALT	18	U/L	(6-30)		
BILIRUBINS	8	umol/L	(0-17)		
ALKALINE PHOSPHATASE	65	U/L	(65-150)		
ALBUMIN	45	g/L	(36-50)		
CALCIUM	2.43	mmol/L	(2.10-2.55)		
CALCIUM (CORRECTED)	2.44	mmol/L	(2.10-2.55)		
BICARBONATE	33 >	mmol/L	(24-30)		
URATE	0.40 >	mmol/L	(0.18-0.36)		
Lab.Comments:							
						Sample Date/Time 27 Sep 2007	
Clin.Details: CKD						Page 1 of 2	
Request Entered: 27 Se	p 2007 16:58		Rej	port Printed: 27	Sep 2007]		

84 year old white male: Stable renal function

> Indicates value above reference interval

eGFR?







eGFR?